Federal Highway Administration University Course on Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation

Lesson 11: Pedestrian Design at Intersections

July 2006



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration



SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS							
	APPROXI	MATE CONVERSIONS	TO SI UNITS				
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol			
		LENGTH		-			
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm			
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m			
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m			
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km			
		AREA					
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm ²			
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m ²			
vd ²	square vard	0.836	square meters	m ²			
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha			
mi ²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km ²			
	· · ·	VOLUME	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
floz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	ml			
	allons	3 785	litore	1			
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m ³			
vd ³	cubic vards	0.020	cubic meters	m ³			
yu	NOTE: VO	lumes greater than 1000 L shall	be shown in m^3				
	NOTE. VO		be shown in th				
		IVIA33					
OZ	ounces	28.35	grams	g			
	pounds	0.454	kilograms	Kg			
1	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or "metric ton")	Mg (or "t")			
	TE	EMPERATURE (exact de	grees)				
°F	Fahrenheit	5 (F-32)/9	Celsius	°C			
		or (F-32)/1.8					
		ILLUMINATION					
fc	foot-candles	10.76	lux	lx			
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m ²			
	FOF	CE and PRESSURE or S	STRESS				
lbf	noundforco		nowtone	N			
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.89	kilonascals	kPa			
	poundionce per square mon	0.05	Kilopascals	κια			
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS							
	APPROXIM	ATE CONVERSIONS F	ROM SI UNITS				
Symbol	APPROXIM When You Know	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By	TO Find	Symbol			
Symbol	APPROXIM When You Know	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH	ROM SI UNITS To Find	Symbol			
Symbol	APPROXIM When You Know	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH	ROM SI UNITS To Find	Symbol			
Symbol	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28	ROM SI UNITS To Find	Symbol in ft			
Symbol mm m	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09	ROM SI UNITS To Find	Symbol in ft vd			
Symbol mm m km	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles	Symbol in ft yd mi			
Symbol mm m m km	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 0.621	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles	Symbol in ft yd mi			
Symbol mm m km	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles	Symbol in ft yd mi			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10 764	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square foot	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² tt ²			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ² m ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1 105	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet equare verte	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² vd ²			
Symbol mm m km km mm ² m ² ba	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters square meters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acros	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ²			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ²			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² ha km ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ²			
Symbol mm m km km m ² m ² ha km ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.0016	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers milliliters liters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ 3	APPROXIM	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³	APPROXIM	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards squares	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers iters cubic meters cubic meters	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers itters cubic meters cubic meters grams	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³	APPROXIM	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic squares ounces pounds	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb			
Symbol mm m km km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square meters hectares square kilometers cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton")	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) sources	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters square meters square meters hectares square meters hectares hectares hetta	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 MPERATURE (exact det	FROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees)	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T			
Symbol mm m km km m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t")	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters square meters square meters square meters square meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters milliliters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters megagrams (or "metric ton") Celsius	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square kilometers cubic meters cubic meters grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton") Celsius	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic spounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters trees tr	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit foot-candles	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C Ix cr/m ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters cubic meters cubic meters megagrams (or "metric ton") TE	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-candles foot-l amberts	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F fc fl			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square meters cubic meters megagrams (or "metric ton") The celsius	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919	ROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-candles foot-Lamberts	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T oF fc fl			
Symbol mm m km mm ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ²	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters square millimeters square meters square	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919 RCE and PRESSURE or S	FROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T oF fc fl l			
Symbol mm m km m ² m ² m ² ha km ² mL L m ³ m ³ g kg Mg (or "t") °C lx cd/m ² N	APPROXIM When You Know millimeters meters meters kilometers square millimeters square meters square met	ATE CONVERSIONS F Multiply By LENGTH 0.039 3.28 1.09 0.621 AREA 0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME 0.034 0.264 35.314 1.307 MASS 0.035 2.202 1.103 EMPERATURE (exact deg 1.8C+32 ILLUMINATION 0.0929 0.2919 RCE and PRESSURE or S 0.225 0.415	FROM SI UNITS To Find inches feet yards miles square inches square feet square yards acres square miles fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic feet cubic yards ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) grees) Fahrenheit foot-candles foot-Lamberts STRESS poundforce	Symbol in ft yd mi in ² ft ² yd ² ac mi ² fl oz gal ft ³ yd ³ oz lb T °F fc fl lbf lbf lbf lbf lbf			

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380. (Revised March 2003)

Table of Contents

11.1 Introduction	1
11.2 Intersection Design Principles	1
11.3 Crosswalks	3
11.4 Curb Ramps	6
11.5 Crossing and Detection Technology	9
11.6 Pedestrian Signal Heads and Half-Signals	11
11.7 Curb Extensions and Curb Radii	14
11.8 Signal Timing and Pushbuttons	17
11.9 Pedestrian Refuge Islands	21
11.10 Roundabouts	25
11.11 Student Exercise	30
11.12 References and Additional Resources	32

List of Figures

Figure 11-1. Photo. Reduced visibility of pedestrians behind parked cars can create conflict	2
Figure 11-2. Photo. Use of colored crosswalks and median refuges makes this intersection	
more pedestrian-friendly	4
Figure 11-3. Photo. Ramp request form used by the City of Seattle, WA, Engineering	
Department	8
Figure 11-4. Photo. Flag treatment used in Kirkland, WA	9
Figure 11-5. Photo. Fluorescent yellow-green sign treatment in Austin, TX	. 10
Figure 11-6. Photo. Flashing beacon treatment in Austin, TX.	. 10
Figure 11-7. Photo. Detectable warnings treatment in Roseville, CA	. 11
Figure 11-8. Photo. Staggered pedestrian crossings (Z-crossings) treatment in	
San Luis Obispo, CA	. 11
Figure 11-9. Photo. Half-signal in Portland, OR.	. 12
Figure 11-10. Photos. Curb extensions reduce crossing distances for pedestrians and provide	
additional corner storage space	. 15
Figure 11-11. Illustration. Curb extensions improve the visibility of pedestrians by motorists	
and vice versa	. 16
Figure 11-12. Photo. Example of obscure pedestrian pushbuttons; pushbuttons should be	
conveniently placed and clear from obstacles.	. 18
Figure 11-13. Illustration. Pedestrian crossing signals should be clear and understandable by	
all users	. 20
Figure 11-14. Photo. Example of pedestrian pushbutton location	20
Figure 11-15. Photo. Streets with raised medians usually have lower pedestrian crash rates	. 23
Figure 11-16. Photo. Refuge islands provide pedestrians with a resting place when crossing	
roads or intersections.	24
Figure 11-17. Illustration. Intersections have 16 vehicle/pedestrian conflict points	27
Figure 11-18. Illustration. Roundabouts have eight vehicle/pedestrian conflict points	. 27
Figure 11-19. Illustration. Example traffic signal plan, Superior Parkway construction plans,	
Lawrenceville, GA	31

List of Tables

Table 11-1. Intersection issues for safe pedestrian crossings.	2
Table 11-2. Roundabout trade-off issues for pedestrians.	26

LESSON 11:

PEDESTRIAN DESIGN AT INTERSECTIONS

11.1 Introduction

Walkways provide mobility along a linear path. Eventually, people need to cross roads and streets at intersections. These intersections, where the paths of people and vehicles come together, can be the most challenging part of negotiating a pedestrian network. If pedestrians cannot cross the street safely, then mobility is severely limited, access is denied, and walking as a mode of travel is discouraged.

This lesson provides an overview of several design features critical to providing pedestrian access at intersections. Much research has been done on this topic, and several design manuals provide much detail, including the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD), the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities*, and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Design and Safety of Pedestrian Facilities*, among others.^(1,2,3) The major sections of this lesson are as follows:

- 11.1 Introduction.
- 11.2 Intersection Design Principles.
- 11.3 Crosswalks.
- 11.4 Curb Ramps.
- 11.5 Crossing and Detection Technology.
- 11.6 Pedestrian Signal Heads and Half-Signals.
- 11.7 Curb Extensions and Curb Radii.
- 11.8 Signal Timing and Pushbuttons.
- 11.9 Pedestrian Refuge Islands.
- 11.10 Roundabouts.
- 11.11 Student Exercise.
- 11.12 References and Additional Resources.

Text for this lesson was taken from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) 1998 report titled *Implementing Pedestrian Improvements at the Local Level.*⁽⁴⁾ It has been reprinted with minor modifications and updates. Other information has been drawn from the ITE publication, *Design and Safety of Pedestrian Facilities—A Recommended Practice of ITE.*⁽³⁾

11.2 Intersection Design Principles

In urban areas, two-thirds of pedestrian injuries occur at central business district (CBD) intersections. A suitable example of such injuries is the intersection dash, in which a pedestrian enters the street at an intersection and is seen too late by the driver of a motor vehicle. This is the third most prevalent pedestrian crash type, accounting for 7.2 percent of all pedestrian crashes.

The solution to such pedestrian crashes at intersections is to design and build intersections that:

- Encourage pedestrian use rather than at midblock crossing locations.
- Make pedestrians as visible as possible.
- Make pedestrian actions as predictable as possible.
- Slow vehicular traffic.

A good place to start is to develop design guidelines for intersections that respond to the needs of pedestrians—guidelines that can be followed whenever new intersections are built or when existing intersections are being improved or reconstructed.

Table 11-1 contains important intersection issues and potential solutions:

Issue	Improvement			
Improved pedestrian conspicuity	Painted crosswalks in the roadway.			
	• Extensions to move pedestrians out from behind parked cars (see figure 11-1).			
	• Improved horizontal and vertical sight distances through the removal of extraneous curbside clutter (newspaper boxes, redundant utility poles, overgrown vegetation, etc.).			
Speed reduction of motor vehicle drivers	• Raised intersections to alert drivers that the area is not designed for rapid through movement and pedestrians can be expected.			
	• Right-turn slip lanes with exit angles between 50 and 60 degrees.			
Predictability and control of	Crosswalks.			
pedestrian actions and movement	Signalization.			
Distance and time that pedestrians	Curb extensions.			
have to cross a roadway	• Medians.			
	• Refuge islands.			
Ease of movement from walkway to	• Curb ramps to facilitate the transition from walkways to streets.			
street level and vice versa	• Raised intersections that eliminate the need for curb ramps and make the crosswalk a natural extension of the walkway			

Table 11-1. Intersection issues for safe pedestrian crossings.



Figure 11-1. Photo. Reduced visibility of pedestrians behind parked cars can create conflict.

Improving intersections for pedestrians involves the coordination and integration of a number of design elements, including crosswalks, curb ramps, curb extensions, turning radii, and signalization. Some other important considerations when designing intersections include:

- Taking vertical as well as horizontal sight distances into account.
- Referring to the AASHTO *Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities* for formulas relating to storage space needed for pedestrians.⁽²⁾
- Prohibiting parking near intersections.
- Limiting right-turn-on-red movements in areas of high pedestrian volumes.
- Keeping crosswalks at right angles to turning roadway terminals and slip lanes.
- Keeping right turns below 24.2 kilometers per hour (km/h) (15 miles per hour (mi/h)) and left turns below 32.2 km/h (20 mi/h).
- Locating crossing close to the parallel street (0.6 meters (m) (2 feet (ft)) of offset is standard).
- Using stop bars for motorists and keep stop bars behind crosswalks.

11.3 Crosswalks

Typical Concerns

Of the 61 different pedestrian crash types, the midblock dart-out type—where a pedestrian may suddenly appear between parked cars or otherwise cross a vehicular way at a random location—accounts for 13.3 percent of all pedestrian crashes. In three-quarters of these cases, the crash occurs in the curbside lane. One-third of midblock dart-outs result in serious injury or fatality.

Possible Solutions

One solution is to create an ongoing retrofit program to establish crosswalks in locations that encourage pedestrians to cross in specific locations and that also provide motorists with a reasonable expectation of where pedestrians might cross a roadway. Crosswalks are one tool that municipalities can use to accomplish both goals. Other tools include curb extensions and medians (discussed later in this lesson).

Important crosswalk concepts and issues include:

- 1. **Creating reasonable expectations where pedestrians may cross a roadway.** A crosswalk creates a visible indication for both motorists and pedestrians as to where pedestrians may be expected to cross a roadway.
- 2. **Controlling pedestrian actions and movement.** When combined with signalization (as well as curb extensions and refuge islands, where appropriate), crosswalks can help to control pedestrian movement and make them more routine and predictable (see figure 11-2).



Figure 11-2. Photo. Use of colored crosswalks and median refuges makes this intersection more pedestrian-friendly.

3. **Knowing when and where crosswalks are appropriate.** As noted in the Oregon *Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan*, some studies have found that pedestrians may develop a false sense of security when crossing a road in marked crosswalks.⁽⁵⁾ Other studies have found that motorists are more likely to stop for pedestrians in marked crosswalks, especially where pedestrian right-of-way laws are enforced.

It is important that the proper use of crosswalks is backed up by State law. Vermont is one State whose law gives pedestrians conditional right-of-way when using marked crosswalks. As long as "traffic control signals are not in operation, the driver of a vehicle shall yield the right-of-way, slowing down or stopping, if necessary, to a pedestrian crossing the roadway within a crosswalk" (*Vermont Statutes,* \$1051(a)). ⁽⁶⁾ Where this law is reinforced by signage in the crosswalk itself, reminding drivers of the State law and their responsibility to stop, some town select board officials have said that drivers' habits markedly favor pedestrians. The responsibility, however, is on the pedestrian to safely enter a crosswalk. Vermont law continues, "No pedestrian may suddenly leave a curb or other place of safety and walk or run into the path of a vehicle which is so close that it is impossible for a driver to yield" (*Vermont Statutes,* \$1051(b)). ⁽⁶⁾

4. **Deciding where crosswalks might be located.** Generally, marked crosswalks are located at all open legs of signalized intersections. They may also be provided at other locations. When used with curb extensions, signage, and illumination, the visibility of pedestrian crossings can be enhanced.

Although expected at intersections, the installation of crosswalks at midblock locations may also be desirable under some conditions, such as when medians or refuge islands are used.

ITE recommends that certain conditions may not warrant the installation of marked crosswalks, such as when the hourly peak pedestrian volume is very low (<25 pedestrians per peak 4 hours) or when traffic volume is very low (<2,000 annual average daily traffic (AADT)). At all other locations, or where predominately young, elderly, or disabled pedestrians may be found, crosswalks are recommended.

Implementation Strategies

- 1. **Develop and adopt a crosswalk policy and design guidelines.** Decide where crosswalks shall be used; when policies and ordinances are changed or updated, make sure a crosswalk policy is implemented. Likewise, develop standard crosswalk designs for the public works department to follow.
- 2. **Piggyback on capital and/or maintenance projects.** Look for opportunities to install crosswalks whenever intersections are changed or upgraded or when roadways are resurfaced.
- 3. Use crosswalks to connect sidewalks and curb ramps at intersections. Coordinate crosswalk painting with new or existing curb ramp locations.
- 4. **Establish an annual crosswalk improvement program.** Schedule crosswalk replacement or repainting so that crosswalk markings never become deteriorated or less visible to motorists. The duty of a driver to yield right-of-way to a pedestrian in a crosswalk may be compromised if the driver could not see the crosswalk or one did not exist. Furthermore, the municipality may be liable for failing to exercise due care toward maintaining the crosswalk for pedestrians, especially if the municipality knew or should have known of a crosswalk deficiency.
- 5. **Implement a vigorous enforcement program.** Convince law enforcement authorities to actively monitor crosswalk behavior, enforce crosswalk laws, and prosecute crosswalk scofflaws. Create and implement a public relations program to increase public awareness about the rights and responsibilities of crosswalk use. Emphasize crosswalk laws through the use of informational signage at crosswalk locations.

Resources and Scheduling

Crosswalks are relatively inexpensive to install. Obtaining authorization to install them, on the other hand, could take months or longer.

Evaluation

An informal traffic study can determine if the crosswalk program is enhancing pedestrian safety. Especially monitor locations of high pedestrian use. Review crash statistics on a regular basis.

Planning and Design Considerations

When planning and designing crosswalks, consider these recommendations:

- Place crosswalks across the full width of the pavement.
- Use crosswalks at all signalized intersections.
- Use crosswalks at unsignalized intersections with discretion.
- Place crosswalks in locations where they are visible and where they are not obscured by parked cars or signs.
- Illuminate midblock crosswalks that are not expected by motorists.
- Use two white parallel lines 0.2 m to 0.6 m (0.5 ft to 2.0 ft) wide, spaced at a 1.8-m (6-ft) minimum, or the width of the approaching sidewalk if it is greater, to define a crosswalk area.
- Use special markings such as striped (zebra) longitudinal lines or diagonal crosshatching for added visibility and to emphasize a crossing.

- Use crosswalks at the corners of skewed intersections.
- Where warranted, the lighting levels in pedestrian areas should meet those recommended by the Illuminating Engineering Society (IES).
- Ensure that crossings have a smooth, even surface for people who use wheelchairs, walkers, or have other mobility impairments.

11.4 Curb Ramps

Curb ramps at intersections are an important design feature which should be considered. Refer to lesson 8.6, "Design Requirements," or lesson 9.3, "Basic Sidewalk Elements," for more information about curb ramps.

Typical Concerns

The absence of curb ramps prevents many wheelchair users from crossing streets. Such a deficiency is common in many communities. Even if ramps are provided, they may be poorly designed. Typical problems include:

- Steep slopes.
- Lack of a flat landing area.
- Obstructions in or near the ramp.
- Lip at street connection.
- Severe counter slope at gutter.
- Water, ice, or debris at the toe of ramp.
- Ramps that are too narrow to accommodate wheelchairs.
- Uneven surfaces.

When new public walkways are built, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires a curb ramp at each curbed street crossing. Ramps must also be added when roadways are repaired. A Pennsylvania court interpreted this requirement very broadly to include annual street resurfacing programs.⁽⁷⁾ ADA also requires that curb ramps be installed in new development, annual programs, and capital projects. A transition plan should show how a community will systematically install curb ramps, especially at key locations like social service agencies and transit stops.

Possible Solutions

The solution is to install curb ramps using ADA design guidelines. Curb ramps should be installed along with all new walkways. A program to retrofit existing walkways with curb ramps should be developed. Existing curb ramps should be inspected and replaced if they do not meet ADA guidelines.

Implementation Strategies

Having curb ramps installed at all intersections with sidewalks requires a clear, well-planned strategy that may require a period of several years to fully implement. Here are three keys to a successful implementation.

1. **Regulate new development and redevelopment.** Make sure all permits involving walkways include curb ramps. Because this is required by ADA, it should not be hard to implement. Local ordinances may need changing, or where there is less formality, a simple directive might be issued. The same goes for bringing standard plans and specifications into compliance with ADA guidelines.

Simply changing the regulations to require curb ramps, however, may not be enough. People who review new plans and issue permits must know where ramps are appropriately located and what an acceptable design is. Here are a few steps to consider:

- a. Find out who reviews plans and issues permits. They may or may not be the same people.
- b. Educate them on ADA and local curb ramp requirements (location and design). This could involve developing typical layouts and designs, a special training session, or a presentation at a staff meeting.
- c. Follow up. Education is not a one-time effort—employees move on and new ones take their places. Periodic memos and presentations can keep everyone on track.
- d. Inspectors are key players. Involve them in each of the steps above.
- 2. **Capital projects and annual programs.** ADA requires curb ramps to be installed whenever "alterations to existing transportation infrastructure" are made. In other words, all capital projects and annual programs must be scrutinized for opportunities to install new ramps to ensure that all new or rebuilt intersections conform to ADA requirements. This can include construction projects ranging from annual resurfacing programs to major signalization upgrades and street widening.

Implementing a curb ramp requirement for all capital projects and annual programs requires an ongoing, concerted effort. There are several things that can be done:

- Work with the managers of annual programs, such as asphalt resurfacing, to identify locations where curb ramps should be installed.
- Make sure curb ramps are written into all scope of work text and budgets for funding proposals and locally funded capital projects.
- As projects are funded and staff assigned, make sure the project manager knows where curb ramps must be included in the project. Have someone knowledgeable review designs to make sure well-designed curb ramps have been included.
- 3. **Annual curb ramp installation programs**. To meet ADA requirements, an annual curb ramp installation program will likely need to be created. Here are some ways to get started:
 - Encourage staff and constituents to identify locations where ramps are needed. Try distributing ramp request forms in the form of public request cards (see figure 11-3) to agencies and groups involved with the disabled community.
 - Evaluate and respond quickly to returned ramp request forms.
 - Develop a systematic way for identifying priority locations. Start by looking at sites that serve transit, social service agencies, schools, and neighborhood shopping areas. Curb ramp projects may be built by either contractors or the public works department. Both ways can work, and one is not necessarily less expensive than the other. However, here are two important points to consider:
 - Curb ramps must be properly built. Even a 0.6-cm (0.25-inch) lip on a ramp can make it difficult, if not impossible, for some wheelchair users to negotiate it.
 - It helps public relations to respond to ramp requests within a reasonable amount of time—less than six months. When using private contractors, it may make sense to bid some of the ramps on a per unit basis as opposed to a site-specific basis to allow for quickest response to citizen requests.

Plee wh	City of ase provide of eelchair ram	Seattle written o ps would	Wheelchair lescription or ske make your trave	Ramp Pi tch of the k	rogram
LOCATION:	NE NW SE	SW All priote locotions)	corner(s) of the	₩ N	
mersection b	(ple	ase list intersec	ting streets above)		
PLEASE PR Comments, S Information t in providing	OVIDE BELOY buggestions or hat may assist a better service	V Other us e to you!	Piease m corners r chair ran	iark intersection needing wheel- nps with an "X"	
REPORTED BY: Name			Day	Phone	
Address				Zip	Date
Please retu	ırn to:	Wheelch Rm 708 Seattle,	Wheelchair Ramp Program Rm 708 Municipal Building Seattle, WA 98104		For more information, Contact Pam Hamlin at 684-5377





Resources and Scheduling

Curb ramps typically cost between \$500 and \$1,000 each. Usually, it is cheaper to install a large number at the same time or as part of other concrete work. Timely ramp installation is a key part of an overall pedestrian program. Frequently, curb ramps can be installed easily and quickly, giving communities highly visible products that can improve locations all over town. With this in mind, it is preferable to design a curb ramp program to respond to citizen requests within six months. Where narrow sidewalks and limited rights-of-way exist, installation of curb ramps may take longer. In either case, consider using a public request card like the one shown above in figure 11-3 to bring curb ramp requests to the attention of the street or public works department. The city of Seattle, WA has developed an excellent program in this regard.

Evaluation

Check to see if ramps are being built as required and designed. In the case of new developments, do occasional checkups to see if ramps are included in new plans. The same goes for annual programs. Seek out feedback on the location and design of ramps from local organizations that include wheelchair users.

Planning and Design Considerations

Important planning and design considerations for curb ramps include:

- Maximum slope not to exceed 8.33 percent (1:12) is required.
- The width must be 0.9 m (3 ft) or greater.
- A maximum allowable cross slope is 2 percent (1:50) is required.
- Transition areas between a walkway and a ramp should be beveled 10 percent for 1.2-m (4-ft) ramps and 8.33 percent for ramps of less than 1.2 m (4 ft).
- Textured surfaces at curb ramps help identify crosswalk locations for visually impaired pedestrians (information on textured surfaces is included later in this lesson and previously in lesson 9, section 3).

Refer to lesson 8.6, "Design Requirements," or lesson 9.3, "Basic Sidewalk Elements," for figures and further curb ramp design information.

11.5 Crossing and Detection Technology

Typical Concerns

In some cases, simply installing a crosswalk is not enough to ensure pedestrian safety at a crossing. Therefore, several other treatments may be used in conjunction with crosswalks to improve safety and provide greater visibility of pedestrians to motorists.

Possible Solutions

Many possible solutions have already been discussed in previous chapters relating to walkways and crosswalks:

- Pavement markings and signing (lesson 10.4–10.8).
- In-roadway warning lights (lesson 10.9, "Intelligent Transportation Systems Technology").
- Automated detection devices (lesson 10.9, "Intelligent Transportation Systems Technology").
- Street lighting (lesson 9.5, "Ambience, Shade, and Other Sidewalk Enhancements").
- Pavement surfaces and tactile ground surface indicators (lesson 9.3, "Basic Sidewalk Elements").

As adapted from an *Informational Report* by an ITE Pedestrian and Bicycle Council Task Force Committee,⁽⁸⁾ the following list offers a few more crossing and detection technologies that could be employed at or before intersections to provide solutions for safer pedestrian crossings:

- Flags (see figure 11-4):
 - Description—Pedestrians select a flag from those posted on each side of the crosswalk, flag traffic to let drivers know they wish to cross, then return the flag to the holder on the opposite side of the street after crossing.
 - Application—Crossings on higher-volume multilane roads.
 - Cost (including labor)—\$100 including holding racks per crossing.



Figure 11-4. Photo. Flag treatment used in Kirkland, WA. Source: ITE Informational Report⁽⁸⁾

- Fluorescent yellow-green signs (see figure 11-5):
 - Description—Pedestrian signs made of the FHWA-approved fluorescent yellow-green color are posted at crossings.
 - Application—Pedestrian and bicycle crossings, including schools.
 - Cost (including labor)—\$200-\$300 per sign.



Figure 11-5. Photo. Fluorescent yellow-green sign treatment in Austin, TX. Source: ITE *Informational Report*⁽⁸⁾

- Flashing beacons (see figure 11-6):
 - Description—Flashing amber lights are installed on overhead signs, signs in advance of the crosswalk, or signs located at the entrance to the crosswalk on pedestal poles.
 - Application—Marked uncontrolled crossings.
 - Cost (including labor)—\$10,000-\$40,000 per crossing, depending on placement.



Figure 11-6. Photo. Flashing beacon treatment in Austin, TX. Source: ITE *Informational Report*⁽⁸⁾

- Detectable warnings (see figure 11-7):
 - Description—Detectable warnings are a standardized surface feature composed of raised truncated domes, used to identify a change from pedestrian to vehicle use.
 - Application—At the base of curb ramps and curb cuts adjacent to a crossing.
 - Cost (including labor)—\$200-\$2000 per ramp or curb, depending on total area.



Figure 11-7. Photo. Detectable warnings treatment in Roseville, CA. Source: ITE *Informational Report*⁽⁸⁾

- Staggered pedestrian crossings (Z-crossings) (see figure 11-8):
 - Description—Median islands and crosswalks laid out in a staggered configuration at uncontrolled intersections require pedestrians to walk toward traffic to reach the second half of the crosswalk.
 - Application—Across multilane roads (applications at midblock locations will be discussed in lesson 12).
 - o Cost (including labor)—\$25,000-\$75,000.



Figure 11-8. Photo. Staggered pedestrian crossings (Z-crossings) treatment in San Luis Obispo, CA. Source: ITE Informational Report⁽⁸⁾

11.6 Pedestrian Signal Heads and Half-Signals

Typical Concerns

Pedestrians often have trouble crossing at unsignalized intersections along arterials. This is especially true for the elderly, whose eyesight and mobility may be poor, and for children, whose judgment may be questionable. And, while most motorists may be required to stop and yield to crossing pedestrians, few arterial street drivers will stop unless forced by, for example, traffic signals.

Traffic control signals are usually placed at intersections when they meet certain warrants. In the 2003 edition of MUTCD, section 4C.05 describes the Pedestrian Volume Signal Warrant, which states that:

The need for a traffic control signal at an intersection or midblock crossing shall be considered if an engineering study finds that both of the following criteria are met:

- A. The pedestrian volume crossing the major street at an intersection or midblock location during an average day is 100 or more for each of any 4 hours or 190 or more during any 1 hour; and
- B. There are fewer than 60 gaps per hour in the traffic stream of adequate length to allow pedestrians to cross during the same period when the pedestrian volume criterion is satisfied. When there is a divided street having a median of sufficient width for pedestrians to wait, the requirement applies separately to each direction of vehicular traffic.⁽¹⁾

In general, when the Pedestrian Volume Signal Warrant is met and a new traffic control signal is installed, pedestrian signal heads are installed along with the signal. Often, jurisdictions create their own policies for when to install pedestrian signal heads. Rather than follow the MUTCD standards (or in addition to following the standards), they may rely on other design features already in place in order to determine the need for a pedestrian signal head. For instance, a community may decide to install pedestrian signal heads at all locations with intersection curb ramps. Or they may have a policy that any new traffic signal installation will automatically include pedestrian signal heads.

Where traffic volumes are high and pedestrian crossings frequent, the lack of signalized crossings makes pedestrians impatient. The result: jaywalking, unpredictable movements, and ultimately more pedestrian-traffic crashes. Yet if the intersecting side street is lightly traveled, it makes little sense to install a full traffic signal for vehicles.

Possible Solutions

The solution is to install a pedestrian half-signal (see figure 11-9). A half-signal is a pedestrian actuated light that stops arterial traffic only, leaving the lower volume cross street unsignalized and controlled by Stop signs only. This allows pedestrians to cross safely upon demand without unnecessarily creating delays upon arterial street traffic that a fully signalized intersection might impose.



Figure 11-9. Photo. Half-signal in Portland, OR.

While a full signal might be an option, costs could easily be prohibitive. A fully signalized intersection can cost twice as much as a half-signal. If a signal on the residential street is unnecessary, the pedestrian half-signal can be an answer.

Another way to accommodate pedestrians without unnecessarily impeding arterial traffic is to tie in a half-signal with full signals on either side. In this scenario, after a pedestrian pushes the call button, the WALK signal is displayed when it is tied in with the progression of adjacent signals. This method may be more attractive to transportation engineers who don't want to impede traffic.

Section 7 of the ITE *Informational Report* summarizes the use of different signals installed for pedestrian crossings.⁽⁸⁾ Pedestrian signals can be applied to intersections where the pedestrian crossing is signalized but the intersection side street approaches are controlled by Stop signs. However, most applications of pedestrian signals in the United States are at midblock locations. These will be discussed in lesson 12.

Implementation Strategies

Here are a few ways for implementing pedestrian half-signals into an overall pedestrian program:

- 1. **Create an annual program.** If the need is great and local budgets can afford it, creating an annual half-signal installation program is best. This approach helps staff learn to evaluate potential locations. It also helps institutionalize the logic behind the pedestrian half-signal. Identifying and prioritizing suitable locations is the key. Costs, however, can be high and may average from \$50,000 to \$100,000 per installation.
- 2. Select suitable locations. Half-signal sites are often suggested by local schools, hospitals, social service offices, and senior citizen centers. These institutions typically generate a lot of pedestrian trips. If one is located across from a bus stop, for example, numerous daily crossings can be expected.

Relatively low volume but difficult crossings used by children and the elderly may warrant halfsignals. In such cases, common sense tempered by engineering judgment can substitute for numeric standards. Junctions of heavily used bicycle/pedestrian trails and high-volume arterials are also good candidates. Citizens, particularly the elderly, can help identify difficult intersections. Follow up their requests and complaints with onsite staff evaluations. If an intersection looks promising, conduct a detailed analysis. List the best candidate locations and prioritize based on crash histories, traffic speeds, pedestrian volumes, and characteristics.

3. **Focus on environment mitigation.** Your jurisdiction might require half-signals as a condition of development. If a given development is likely to create a pedestrian crossing problem, requiring mitigation makes sense. This approach demands clear warrants for when pedestrian half-signals are necessary to justify assessing developers the cost of traffic impacts. Issuing permits can thus be linked to half-signal installation.

Resources and Scheduling

Pedestrian half-signals cost anywhere from one quarter to one half of a full intersection signalization, depending upon the intersection. Because they are costly, it may not be feasible to install more than a few each year.

Evaluation

In many respects, it is easy to evaluate the success of a pedestrian half-signal. If the number of crashes and/or pedestrian complaints goes down, then it's a success. If the arterial has high volumes, study traffic impacts such as the frequency of motorist delays. If frequent red phases cause delays, consider

lengthening the green phase a bit. To strike the right balance, observe the intersection throughout the day and, if necessary, vary the timing.

Planning and Design Considerations

Regardless of which implementation strategy is chosen, the objective conditions which warrant the use of a half-signal will have to be determined. In all likelihood, the public works department has warrants that must be met before installing a traffic signal. Most jurisdictions use MUTCD to determine signal warrants, whether the signals are to be installed for vehicular traffic or pedestrians. (It should be noted that pedestrian half-signals have not yet been incorporated in MUTCD). When should the pedestrian half-signal option be chosen over the full signalization option? Here are two useful guidelines:

- When traffic volumes on the intersecting street are less than 50 percent of MUTCD-recommended benchmarks.
- When a substantial amount of traffic might be expected to opt for and use lower-volume parallel streets in order to avoid a full signal placed along the arterial.

Because signals and their impacts are often controversial and politically sensitive, creating a flexible warrant may be appropriate, especially given the lack of MUTCD guidelines.

11.7 Curb Extensions and Curb Radii

Typical Concerns

Walking across a wide street takes longer than crossing a narrow street. As a result, pedestrians are exposed for a longer period of time to the threat of being hit by a vehicle when they cross a wide street. Another problem pedestrians face when trying to cross a street is visibility. Parked cars may make it difficult for them to see oncoming vehicles and vice versa. Also, when streets intersect at an acute or obtuse angle, or have a large curb radius, motorists can make turns at relatively high speeds. By contrast, 90-degree intersections and corners with tight curb radii tend to slow motorists down. The problem with obtuse angles is particularly bad when a vehicle on an arterial street turns onto a residential street. Pedestrians crossing the residential street adjacent to the arterial may not expect high-speed turning traffic or they may have their backs turned toward the turning cars.

Possible Solutions

The solution is to shorten the crossing distance for pedestrians. One way to effectively shorten the pedestrian crossing distance on streets where parking is permitted is to install curb extensions, also known as bulb-outs, chokers, neck-downs, or flares. Curb extensions project into the street, usually for a distance equal to the depth of a typical parallel parking space, making it easier for pedestrians to see approaching traffic and giving motorists a better view of pedestrians (see figure 11-10). When motorists are better able to see pedestrians, they have a greater opportunity to stop before a crash can occur.

Decreasing crossing distances for pedestrians also provides these motor vehicle capacity benefits:

- At signalized intersections, it decreases the length of the pedestrian phase, thereby increasing the main street green time and thus more vehicle throughput and less driver delay.
- At unsignalized intersections, it reduces the time a right- or left-turning vehicle has to wait for a pedestrian to cross before exiting the roadway.

When designing curb extensions at intersections where there is low truck traffic, consider making the corner radius as small as possible. This will have the effect of slowing down right-turning motor vehicles. Where truck traffic is present, a tight corner radius may make the turn difficult to negotiate for these vehicles. Furthermore, the constant overriding of the curb and sidewalk by rear wheels of trucks may ultimately cause damage to the curb or sidewalk or cause injury to pedestrians.



Figure 11-10. Photos. Curb extensions reduce crossing distances for pedestrians and provide additional corner storage space.

Simultaneously installing curb extensions and changing curb radii is frequently possible since both involve moving the curb and gutter into the improved portion of the street right-of-way. Adding bollards to make the corner more visible may be an alternative solution.

Where acute or obtuse intersections are encountered, such as where a residential street meets an arterial, creating an intersection that is closer to 90 degrees may also provide opportunities to reduce curb radii and create curb extensions (see figure 11-11).

Implementation Strategies

Typically, curb extensions and curb radius changes are appropriate at a limited number of intersections. Consequently, over time, most intersections that need improvements may be upgraded for pedestrians in this fashion. As with other pedestrian improvements, the key is to develop a strategy and stick to it over a period of years. Here's how to get started:

1. **Determine arterial and residential street specifications.** Include curb extensions and/or smaller curb radii in standard plans and specifications for public and private road projects. A change in one or more local ordinances may be required or specifications may sometimes be implemented by administrative rule.





- 2. Start an annual program to install curb extensions and adjust the curbs at obtuse-angle intersections. Develop project selection criteria to select the projects that will do the most to enhance safety. Some areas to be considered include:
 - Locations where residential streets meet arterial streets at an obtuse angle.
 - Locations that are on routes used by schoolchildren or the elderly.
 - Downtown or neighborhood shopping areas with high pedestrian volumes.
 - Projects nominated by neighborhood associations.

Resources and Scheduling

The cost of installing curb extensions and changing curb radii can vary considerably, depending on whether drain grates have to be moved and/or whether other issues must be addressed. For example, it may be necessary to move the conduit for a signal or relocate utility poles and light and/or sign standards.

Decide if the work is to be done by the public works department or a private contractor. In general, if only a few curb extensions are involved, it may be less expensive and faster to have town or city crews do the work. If there is a lot of work to be done, it may be less expensive to use a private contractor. The key is to let the public know how long it will take to install a curb extension and then deliver promptly.

Evaluation

Visit project sites to determine if good locations have been selected and the best design(s) is being used. Check crash records, do speed studies of cars making turns, look at the curbs to see if trucks or buses are driving over them, and ask pedestrians if they feel safer. Be a good listener and observer, and make modifications where needed.

Planning and Design Considerations

Transportation agencies have increased curb radii over the years to keep trucks and buses from running over curbs and striking pedestrians standing on the corner; such changes also increase capacity. Unfortunately, curb radii have been increased at intersections that do not have large truck traffic or buses (e.g., in residential neighborhoods). The following are guidelines for curb extensions and small curb radii:

- On arterial streets, install curb extensions only where permanent parallel parking is next to the curb. Curb extensions should protrude a minimum of 1.8 m (6 ft) into the roadway. Ideally, they should project the full depth of adjacent parking stalls, usually 2.4 to 2.7 m (8 to 9 ft). Curb extension projections prevent the parking area next to the curb from becoming a travel lane.
- A curb radius of 3.0 to 4.6 m (10 to 15 ft) should be used where residential streets intersect other residential streets and arterial streets.
- A curb radius of 6.1 m (20 ft) should be used at the intersections of arterial streets that are not bus or truck routes.
- A curb radius of 7.6 to 9.1 m (25 to 30 ft) should be used at the intersections of arterial streets that are bus and/or truck routes.
- Curb extensions should not extend so far into the street that they present a bottleneck for bicycle travel. As a minimum, a 4.3-m (14-ft) travel lane should be maintained.

11.8 Signal Timing and Pushbuttons

Typical Concerns

The public is often baffled by pedestrian signal timing and pushbuttons; such pedestrian features seem to vary not only from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, but also from intersection to intersection. WALK/DON'T WALK timing lengths often appear arbitrary—especially the WALK and flashing DON'T WALK phases. Part of the problem stems from the fact that many walkers do not know that the flashing DON'T WALK is intentionally displayed before an average person can completely cross the street. Another part of the problem may result from timing cycles that are simply too fast for slow walkers such as older pedestrians or persons with disabilities.

Another aspect of the problem may be due to the absence of pedestrian pushbuttons or because a call button is obscured or difficult to reach (see figure 11-12). At many intersections that do have pushbuttons,

the DON'T WALK phase is so long that pedestrians feel their pushbutton request has not been recognized by the signal system. All of these problems encourage disrespect for pedestrian signals, promote increased jaywalking, and create conflicts with motorists.



Figure 11-12. Photo. Example of obscure pedestrian pushbuttons; pushbuttons should be conveniently placed and clear from obstacles.

Possible Solutions

Develop policies governing pedestrian signal timing and pushbutton actuation to ensure fair treatment for pedestrians. Make signal timing as consistent as possible, and adopt a clear pedestrian pushbutton warrant. Develop a desired level of service (LOS) for pedestrian waiting and pushbutton response times and evaluate signalized intersections to see if the pedestrian LOS at signalized intersection falls within an acceptable range.

Major issues related to pedestrians and signalized intersections include:

- Seemingly arbitrary length of WALK and flashing DON'T WALK cycles.
- Pros and cons of lengthening flashing DON'T WALK to accommodate slower pedestrians.
- Safety tradeoff of shortened pedestrian phase implemented to enhance vehicular right turns.
- Fairness of laws that allow motorists to enter an intersection on the yellow while prohibiting pedestrians from doing so during flashing DON'T WALK.
- Tradeoff between motor traffic delays and pedestrian delays at actuated pedestrian crossings.
- Integration of pedestrian recall and pedestrian actuation in way pedestrians will understand.

Implementation Strategies

Making signalized intersections consistent with stated policies won't happen overnight; consider it as part of a long-term commitment to pedestrian safety. Whatever strategy is employed, use field observations to see how pedestrians react to signal timing and pushbuttons. Comparing a variety of configurations will help. It is possible for workable and consistent policies to be developed.

- 1. **Annual program.** A comprehensive program should be established to evaluate and prioritize improvements. It should not be hard to locate those areas needing attention. In all likelihood, the public works department probably maintains a file filled with complaints from citizens.
- 2. **New signal or signal timing projects.** Review the pedestrian signal timing plan for any intersections undergoing signal modification or adjustments. Keep aware of signal work, providing appropriate suggestions. This will help signal engineers become more sensitive to pedestrian needs.

Resources and Scheduling

The peculiarities of many intersections mean that a strictly policy-driven approach may not be possible. As a result, trained personnel will be needed to evaluate signal timing and actuation at many specific locations. Most of the work will be done by agency crews unless there is a large enough backlog to justify going out to bid.

Evaluation

Monitor intersections with modified signal timing and pushbuttons, and compare them with unaltered intersections. Crash reductions and/or fewer pedestrian complaints will be good indicators of whether the new policies are working. Develop an LOS for pedestrian pushbuttons and apply accordingly.

Planning and Design Considerations

Consider these features when providing signals that are responsive to pedestrians:

- Signals must fulfill a need, gain attention, convey a clear and simple meaning, and command the respect of road users, as well as provide adequate time for response (see figure 11-13).
- Average walking speed has been calculated at 1.2 m (4 ft) per second (s) ; 1.1 m /s(3.5 ft/s) is becoming more common; 0.9 m/s (3 ft/s) should be used where there is a high frequency of older pedestrians; and people with mobility impairments move as slow as 0.8 m/s (2.5 ft/s). Some signal devices can provide additional time, if required, often by depressing the pushbutton for a specified period of time.
- Many pedestrians stop watching for lights and instead look for gaps to cross streets when their delay exceeds 30 seconds.
- Consider using leading pedestrian interval (LPI) to give pedestrians an advance walk signal before the motorists get a green light, thus giving the pedestrian several seconds to start into the crosswalk where there is a concurrent signal.
- Place pedestrian signal heads at each end of the crosswalk.
- Place the pushbutton at the top of and as near as possible to the curb ramp and clearly in line with the direction of travel. This will improve operations since many pedestrians push all buttons to ensure that they hit the correct one (see figure 11-14). Pedestrian pushbuttons are often provided at locations with intermittent pedestrian volumes to call for the walk message and/or extend the crossing interval.



Figure 11-13. Illustration. Pedestrian crossing signals should be clear and understandable by all users.



Figure 11-14. Photo. Example of pedestrian pushbutton location.

- Use a pushbutton box that gives pedestrians a visible acknowledgment (indicator light comes on at pushbutton box) that their crossing request has been received. Where medians exist, place additional pushbuttons in medians. If signal head on opposite side of the street is more than 18.3 m (60 ft) away, place additional pedestrian signal heads in medians.
- Place pedestrian signals in channelized islands.
- Visually impaired people need audio support at key signalized intersections.
- Audio signals are available that use different sounds—from pleasant (cuckoo or tinkling bell sounds preferred) to obnoxious (avoid raspy sounding buzzers).
- Potentially use a leading pedestrian interval that allows pedestrians a head start at getting into the crosswalk and makes them more visible to motorists.
- For the WALK phase, allow time for pedestrians to look around and start walking. For coordinated signal systems, extend to full green time minus flashing.
- For the DON'T WALK phase (pedestrian clearance interval), avoid shortening the WALK phase to improve the flow of right-turning vehicles.
- The flashing DON'T WALK phase (pedestrian clearance interval) should be included in the full green time. This is calculated as part of the crossing time. Crossing time equals distance divided by 0.8 to 1.2 m/s (2.5 to 4.0 ft/s), depending on the customer base.
- For the steady DON'T WALK phase, allow equal time for the yellow clearance and the all-red signal. Pedestrians should be out of the street.

MUTCD has many suggestions regarding pushbutton placement and pedestrian signal timing. In many other areas of pedestrian activity, however, it leaves a great deal to engineering judgment.

11.9 Pedestrian Refuge Islands

Pedestrian refuge islands are defined as the areas within an intersection or between lanes of traffic where pedestrians may safely wait until vehicular traffic clears, allowing them to cross a street. Refuge islands are commonly found along wide, multilane streets where adequate pedestrian crossing time could not be provided without adversely affecting the traffic flow. These islands provide a resting area for pedestrians, particularly those who use wheelchairs, elderly, or otherwise unable to completely cross an intersection within the provided signal time. These refuge islands also provide a safety area for pedestrians caught in the street when a signal changes.

When evaluating whether a refuge island is needed, both crossing time and safety must be considered. For example, in suburban areas with long distances between intersections and traffic signals, a large proportion of pedestrian crossings occur at unsignalized intersections and at midblock locations. However, with a median, a pedestrian would only have to look in one direction to cross to the median, and in the opposite direction to complete their crossing from the median to the far side of the street. Pedestrians crossing an undivided, multilane street may experience delays 10 times longer than the delay incurred crossing a street with a median as shown by the pedestrian crossing delay curves provided in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 294A.⁽⁹⁾ The effect of refuge islands and medians (see figure 11-15) on pedestrian safety is unclear. Studies have reported both increases and decreases in crashes after pedestrian islands have been installed. There is a substantial lack of definitive information on this subject. However, a 1978 study in Western Australia indicated that the rate of pedestrian crashes at a four-lane unsignalized intersection was reduced to 11.5 percent of its original level when raised median islands were installed.⁽¹⁰⁾

Refuge islands can be beneficial under certain conditions and inconsequential or even harmful under others. The typical conditions where refuge islands are most beneficial include:

- Wide, two-way streets (four lanes or more) with high traffic volumes, high travel speeds, and large pedestrian volumes.
- Wide streets where the elderly, people with disabilities, and children cross regularly.
- Streets with insufficient green signal phasing time for safe pedestrian crossings.
- Wide, two-way intersections with high traffic volumes and significant numbers of crossing pedestrians.
- Low-volume side-street traffic demands with insufficient green time to cross—low side-street volumes in combination with high main street volumes may warrant short green times for the side street, which, in turn, does not allow enough time for the pedestrian to cross the entire street.

The typical conditions where refuge islands are least beneficial or possibly harmful include:

- Narrow streets and/or streets where substandard-width refuge islands are used.
- Instances in which a high turning volume of large trucks exist.
- Where space is needed more for sidewalks.
- Conditions under which the roadway alignment obscures the island, thereby making it likely that vehicles will drive onto the island.
- Areas where the presence of a safety island will severely hamper snowplowing.



Figure 11-15. Photo. Streets with raised medians usually have lower pedestrian crash rates.

In areas where refuge islands are beneficial, the advantages to pedestrians are many, including:

- Reducing pedestrian crossing time by splitting crossing distances (i.e., providing staged crossing of pedestrians), thereby reducing the green time required for the pedestrian crossing phase.
- Providing pedestrians with a resting place when crossing wide roads or intersections (see figure 11-16).
- Providing a pedestrian storage area.
- Increasing the capacity of the intersection with a near-side island that provides a better location for the stop bar.
- Loading and unloading transit riders (although curbside locations provide a better alternative).
- Providing a location for traffic control (shorter mast arms) and utility pole installations.



Figure 11-16. Photo. Refuge islands provide pedestrians with a resting place when crossing roads or intersections.

The disadvantages of pedestrian refuge islands include:

- A false sense of security or safety to pedestrians.
- Street sweeping or snowplowing problems.
- Damage to vehicles if struck.
- High installation costs.
- Generally greater right-of-way requirements.

Recommended Practice

Pedestrian refuge islands may be installed at intersections or midblock locations as deemed appropriate by engineering studies. Refuge islands should be considered during the design of complex intersections or streets rather than after construction has been completed. They must be visible to motorists at all times and should be delineated by curbs, guideposts, signs, or other treatments. Refuge islands should be designed to minimize the potential hazard to motorists and pedestrians alike.

Island Design Features

Pedestrian refuge islands should be designed in accordance with AASHTO policy and MUTCD requirements. Design considerations include:

- Areas at traffic signals where the total length of crosswalk cannot be readily traveled in one pedestrian phase. Special consideration should be given to intersections where a large number of elderly pedestrians and/or people with disabilities will be present. Special consideration should also be given to complex or irregularly shaped intersections where islands could provide a pedestrian with the opportunity to rest and become oriented to the flow of oncoming traffic.
- Raised curbs with cut-through ramps at pavement level or curb ramps for wheelchair users. Cutthrough ramps should be graded to drain quickly and should also have detectable warning (truncated domes, colored pavement, etc.) to identify the refuge island. Islands with ramps should have a level area at least 1.8 m (6 ft) long at the same level as the top of the raised median to provide a level area for wheelchair users.
- Areas at least 1.8 m (6 ft) wide from the face of the curb to the face of the curb. The minimum width should not be less than 1.2 m (4 ft) wide from the face of the curb to the face of the curb. The island should not be less than 3.6 m (12 ft) long or the width of the crosswalk, whichever is greater. The minimum island size should be 4.6 square meters (m²) (50 square feet (ft²)).
- An approach nose, offset from the edge of the traffic lane, appropriately treated to provide motorists with sufficient warning of the island's presence. This can be achieved in various ways such as illumination, reflectorization, marking, signage, and/or size.
- Pedestrian pushbuttons and signage adjacent to crosswalks, one for each crossing, with a minimum separation of 3 m (10 ft).
- Detectable warnings for the visually impaired.
- Placement on wide (four lanes or more) streets with high traffic volumes.
- No obstruction to visibility by such features as foliage, barriers, or benches.
- Barriers that may be necessary to keep pedestrians from stepping into traffic at improper locations.

11.10 Roundabouts

According to the *Pedestrian Facilities Users Guide*:⁽¹¹⁾

A modern roundabout is built with a large, often circular, raised island located at the intersection of an arterial street with one or more crossing roadways and may take the place of a traffic signal. Traffic maneuvers around the circle in a counterclockwise direction, and then turns onto the desired street. All traffic yields to motorists in the roundabout and left-turn movements are eliminated. Unlike a typical intersection, vehicles generally flow and merge through the roundabout from each approaching street without having to stop.

Roundabouts need to accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists. It is important that automobile traffic yields to pedestrians crossing the roundabout. Splitter islands at the approaches slow vehicles and allow pedestrians to cross one traffic lane at a time. Single-lane approaches can be designed to keep speeds down to safer levels and allow pedestrians to cross. Multilane approaches have higher speeds, create multiple threats for pedestrians, and are not recommended.

Typical Concerns

Roundabouts represent a tradeoff for pedestrians (see table 11-2). Roundabouts generally improve safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists.⁽¹²⁾ A well-designed roundabout can improve pedestrian safety by:

- **Lowering vehicular speeds.** This allows more time for drivers to react to potential conflicts and reduces the pedestrian's risk of death if hit by a motor vehicle.
- **Reducing conflict points.** This eliminates the potential for hazardous conflicts, in contrast to an intersection (see figures 11-17 and 11-18). Conflicting vehicles come from a more defined path at roundabouts, and thus pedestrians have fewer places to check for conflicting vehicles.

Compared to two-way stop-controlled intersections, roundabouts may make it easier and safer for most pedestrians to cross the major street.⁽¹³⁾ At both roundabouts and two-way stop-controlled intersections, pedestrians have to judge gaps in the major (uncontrolled) stream of traffic. By reducing stopping distance, the low vehicular speeds through a roundabout generally reduce the frequency and severity of incidents involving pedestrians. In addition, when crossing an exit lane on the minor road, one's sight angle is smaller than when watching for left-turning vehicles at a conventional intersection.⁽¹³⁾

Benefits	Problems			
Shorter crossing distances—There are	Out-of-direction travel—Roundabouts may be inconvenient			
generally fewer lanes to cross in a roundabout,	for pedestrians because the crosswalks are set back farther from			
and many crossings are broken by pedestrian	the intersection and the distance pedestrians have to travel is			
refuge islands.	longer.			
Decreased waiting time—Pedestrians do not	Visually impaired pedestrians—Visually impaired pedestrians			
need to wait for a long traffic signal cycle	who use audible cues from the traffic movements in order to			
before they can cross the roadway.	judge when it is safe to cross find fewer cues to negotiate a			
	roundabout.			

Fable 11-2	. Roundabout	trade-off issues	for	pedestrians.
-------------------	--------------	------------------	-----	--------------



Figure 11-17. Illustration. Intersections have 16 vehicle/pedestrian conflict points.

Source: Roundabouts: An Informational Guide⁽¹³⁾



Figure 11-18. Illustration. Roundabouts have eight vehicle/pedestrian conflict points. Source: *Roundabouts: An Informational Guide*⁽¹³⁾

Visually Impaired Pedestrians

The Informational Guide states that:

All-way stop-controlled intersections may be preferred by pedestrians with visual impairment because vehicles are required to stop before they enter the intersection. However, crossing the exit leg of an all-way stop-controlled intersection can be intimidating for a pedestrian since traffic may be turning onto the exit from multiple directions. Roundabouts, on the other hand, allow pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time; however, traffic may be moving (even if at a slow speed), thus making it more challenging to judge gaps, especially for visually impaired users, children, and the elderly.

Elderly pedestrians, children, and pedestrians who have vision loss find it more difficult to cross unprotected road crossings. These pedestrians generally prefer larger gaps in the traffic stream, and walk at slower speeds or start later than other pedestrians. Multilane roadways entering and exiting double-lane roundabouts require additional cues to cross, since pedestrians need assurance that they have been seen by drivers in each lane they are crossing.

When crossing a roundabout, there are several areas of difficulty for the blind and/or visually impaired pedestrian. It is expected that a visually impaired pedestrian with good travel skills must be able to arrive at an unfamiliar intersection and cross it with preexisting skills and without special, intersection-specific training. Roundabouts pose problems at several points of the crossing experience, from the perspective of information access.

Unless these issues are addressed by a design, the intersection is "inaccessible" and may not be permissible under the ADA. Chapters 5, 6, and 7 [of the *Informational Guide*] provide specific suggestions to assist in providing the above information. However, more research is required to develop the information jurisdictions need to determine where roundabouts may be appropriate and what design features may be appropriate for the disabled, such as audible signalized crossings. Until specific standards are adopted, engineers and jurisdictions must rely on existing related research and professional judgment to design pedestrian features so that they are usable by pedestrians with disabilities.⁽¹³⁾

Vision impaired pedestrians may have difficulty navigating and identifying appropriate gaps at roundabout crossings because circulating traffic masks the sounds needed to identify a gap in the traffic to make a safe crossing.

Crosswalks

Crosswalks at roundabouts can be a tricky design issue. Unlike an intersection, by vehicle code definitions there cannot be a legal unmarked crosswalk at a roundabout. A legal crosswalk at a roundabout must be marked.⁽¹¹⁾ However, many State guidelines recommend *not* painting pedestrian crossing lines across the entrances and exits of roundabouts because they could give pedestrians a false sense of security when crossing the roadway. Instead, they recommend encouraging pedestrians to identify gaps in traffic and cross when acceptable gaps are available.

According to the report, Modern Roundabouts for Oregon:

Priority crossing should be considered only where:

- Pedestrian volumes are high.
- There is a high proportion of young, elderly, or infirm citizens wanting to cross the road.
- Pedestrians are experiencing particular difficulty in crossing and being delayed excessively.

Most guidelines recommend the location of a crosswalk at 1 to 2 car lengths from the yield line [or] 6.1 to 10 m (20 to 33 ft). This will reduce decisionmaking problems for drivers and avoid [a] backup queue of vehicles waiting to exit roundabouts.

The ideal solution would be to have all pedestrians follow the path created for them and to have all motorists yield to them. But in moving pedestrians too far from the roundabout, pedestrians will not tolerate the out-of-direction travel created. If the crosswalks are too close to the yield line, motorists will stop on it. Therefore, the best solution is to place the crosswalk behind a stopped car, about 4.0 to 5.0 m (13 to 16.5 ft) from the entry point. This is not an ideal solution, as one cannot force pedestrians to make even this slight a detour, and when a bus or truck is stopped, it will cover the crosswalk.

This position does have the advantage of allowing a pedestrian to cross behind a stopped car waiting to enter. The driver who stops to let a pedestrian cross at an exit lane does so outside of the roundabout, in an area perceived as more comfortable than in the circulating roadway.⁽¹²⁾

The *Informational Guide* provides the following recommendations for pedestrian crosswalks at roundabouts:

- Pedestrian crossings are set back from the yield line by one or more vehicle lengths to:
 - Shorten the crossing distance compared to locations adjacent to the inscribed circle.
 - o Separate vehicle-vehicle and vehicle-pedestrian conflict points.
 - Allow the second entering driver to devote full attention to crossing pedestrians while waiting for the driver ahead to enter the circulatory roadway.
- If sidewalks on the intersecting roads are adjacent to the curbs, this setback may require the sidewalks to deviate from a straight path. This is not the case if sidewalks are separated from the curbs by a generous landscape buffer.⁽¹¹⁾

Possible Solutions

Splitter Islands

Typically, modern roundabout design includes splitter islands (also called median islands) on each approach to the roundabout that serve as pedestrian refuges. By having space to pause on the splitter island, pedestrians can consider one direction of conflicting traffic at a time, which simplifies the task of crossing the street.⁽¹³⁾

There should be a cut-through in the splitter island with a minimum width of 2.0 m (6.6 ft).⁽¹²⁾ If this can't be accomplished, a raised island as narrow as 0.8 m (2.5 ft) is still preferred over a painted line alone.

Planning and Design Considerations

Some other design considerations for roundabouts include:

- Determine that street widths and/or available right-of-way are sufficient at the location to accommodate a properly designed roundabout.
- Reduce vehicle approach speed (to 24.1 to 29.0 km/h (15 to18 mi/h)) by providing adequate deflection on each approach.
- Design splitter islands as large as the site allows.
- Prohibit parking on the approaches of the roundabouts to provide clear visibility.
- Provide street lighting to illuminate not only the circulating roadway but also the approaches.
- Locate signs and plants so as not to obscure pedestrians.
- Understand that roundabouts are generally not appropriate for multilane road intersections.
- Plan roundabouts for locations with high percentages of left-turning traffic.
- Discourage pedestrians from crossing to the central island by using landscape buffers, etc., on the corners.^(11,12,13)

Additional research is needed to determine the best way for visually impaired pedestrians to safely cross roundabouts. To this end, NCHRP has funded a significant research effort (Project 3-78) to develop crossing solutions at roundabouts and channelized turn lanes for pedestrians with vision disabilities. NCHRP Project 3-78 was scheduled to begin in late 2004.

11.11 Student Exercise

1. Look at research on roundabouts and the visually impaired and develop geometric designs or other approaches that will make roundabouts more user friendly for pedestrians who are visually impaired and don't employ visual cues to identify gaps in the traffic stream.

2. The need to develop and detail pedestrian intersection improvements in a manner that can be constructed within the normal field of highway construction is an extremely important issue. Pedestrian accommodations at intersections include both traffic signal and pavement marking improvements. An exercise covering pavement marking issues was previously addressed in exercise 10.10. With regard to signalization at intersections, pedestrian improvements typically include pedestrian signals, pedestrian pushbuttons, conduit/wiring, mounting brackets, and pedestrian poles. Traffic signal improvements are specified through a detailed system of standard drawings, specifications, and bid item numbers. An example plan view drawing demonstrating this method for specifying traffic signal improvements using Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) standards is provided for reference in figure 11-19.



1 inch=2.54 cm. 1 ft=0.3 m Figure 11-19. Illustration. Example traffic signal plan, Superior Parkway construction plans, Lawrenceville, GA.

Develop a plan to install pedestrian signals and related improvements for an intersection in your community. The plan should be developed using nomenclature and reference standards from your State DOT. A list of standard drawings for pedestrian facility construction from California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) was previously provided in exercise 10.10. If possible, you should obtain an intersection drawing from your local traffic engineering department. This drawing typically shows the location of existing roadway features, travel lanes, signal equipment, and utilities. In addition to preparing a plan of proposed improvements, develop an estimate of quantities needed for each construction item and prepare an engineer's construction cost estimate. You will need to utilize the following resources:

- Plan view drawing of local intersection.
- Standard drawings (periodically published document).
- Standard specifications (periodically published document).

- Bid item numbers (typically a published list).
- Statewide average bid summary (typically assembled several times a year).

11.12 References and Additional Resources

The references for this lesson are:

- 1. *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, 2003, available online at http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov, accessed April 22, 2004.
- 2. *Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, July 2004.
- 3. Design and Safety of Pedestrian Facilities—A Recommended Practice of the Institute of *Transportation Engineers*, Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, DC, 1998, available online at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/docs/designsafety.pdf.
- 4. *Implementing Pedestrian Improvements at the Local Level*, Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-98-138, Washington, DC, 1998, available online at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ped_bike/docs/localpedguide.pdf.
- 5. *Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan: An Element of the Oregon Transportation Plan*, Oregon Department of Transportation, 1995.
- 6. Vermont Statutes Online, http://www.leg.state.vt.us/statutes/statutes2.htm, accessed August 12, 2005.
- 7. KINNEY v. YERUSALEM, No. 93-1168, available online at http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/foia/pa2.txt, accessed August 12, 2005.
- 8. Lalani, N., "Alternative Treatments for At-Grade Pedestrian Crossings," *Informational Report*, Pedestrian and Bicycle Task Force, Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, DC, 2001.
- 9. *Planning and Implementing Pedestrian Facilities in Suburban and Developing Rural Areas*, NCHRP Report 294A, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, June 1987.
- 10. "A Comparison of the Pedestrian Safety of Median Islands and Marked Crossings," *Western Roads*, Western Australia, August 1978.
- Zegeer, C.V., C. Seiderman, P. Lagerwey, M. Cynecki, M. Ronkin, and R. Schneider, *Pedestrian Facilities Users Guide—Providing Safety and Mobility*, Publication No. FHWA-RD-01-102, Federal Highway Administration, McLean, VA, March 2002.
- 12. Taekratok, T., *Modern Roundabouts for Oregon*, Publication No. OR-RD-98-17, Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, OR, June 1998, available online at http://www.odot.state.or.us/techserv/engineer/pdu/Modern%2520Roundabouts.pdf, accessed March 23, 2004.

 Robinson, B.W., L. Rodegerdts, W. Scarborough, W. Kittleson, et al., *Roundabouts: An Informational Guide*, Publication No. FHWA-RD-00-067, Federal Highway Administration, McLean, VA, June 2002, available online at http://www.tfhrc.gov/safety/00068.htm, accessed March 24, 2004.

Additional resources for this lesson include:

• Pedestrian Access to Modern Roundabouts: Design and Operational Issues for Pedestrians who are Blind, August 2003, available online at http://www.access-board.gov/research&training/roundabouts/bulletin.htm.